

In 3.  
A1

1

METHOD FOR SIGNALLING IN A SIGNALLING TRANSFER POINTIn 1.  
A2

**Routing loops**

It can occur in signalling networks ~~that are based on~~ <sup>according to</sup> signalling system No. 7 that routing loops occur in the network on MTP level 3 due to incorrect planning or errors. This results in messages being operating errors, so that messages are routed to one or more destinations in a loop without ever reaching their destination. Of particular interest here are loops having a length greater than 2 ("length of a loop" means the plurality of signalling points participating in a loop) and, in particular, how such loops can be eliminated when they are recognized.

If loops potentially present in the tables are in fact used for routing, thus represents a serious problem for the network since messages, on the one hand, do not arrive at their destination and, on the other hand, consume valuable resources in the network. It should therefore be eliminated as fast as possible.

Loops having the length 2 (so-called ping-pong loops) cannot occur given a functioning protocol in the MTP (message transfer point). Should they nonetheless arise, these loops can be easily recognized in real time in a signalling transfer point when that a check is carried out to see whether a message is to be routed over the same linkset on which it was received. These are simple to correct when they are just as easy to correct in that the unsuccessful protocol actions (sending transfer prohibited -- TFP -- messages to the cooperating party) are repeated.

Loops having a length > 2 are more difficult to recognize. A check can in fact be performed with every message in a specific STP as to whether this message originates from precisely this STP (by comparing the OPC contained in the message to the PointCode of the STP). When this is the case, there is a loop in the network. STPs, however, do not necessarily generate messages or, respectively, do not necessarily generate messages to the destination or destinations to which there is a loop.

This problem can be solved by a real-time method that recognizes the possibility of a loop, for example due to a lasting overload on a linkset. When this method recognizes the possibility of a loop, the operating personnel can be informed so that corrective measures can be initiated.



Pertinent

to the destinations that can be reached (according to the routing) via the ~~appertaining~~ linkset. When such test messages return to the STP, these messages are detected by comparing the OPC contained in the message to the point code of the STP, and a loop<sup>5</sup> or, respectively, several loops are recognized. Correction measures can thereby remain limited to loops being currently employed.

*This*  
 Said check with the assistance of test messages is ~~already~~ useful when it is applied in only one STP, ~~realized in only one STP~~ since all loops that run through this STP can be ~~recognized~~ detected. The check method can also always be active.

Another possibility is comprised in making the initiation of correction measures dependent <sup>on</sup> ~~on~~ the evaluation of the (relative) probability that the possible loop could be ~~employed~~ <sup>Selected</sup>. These information can be made available by the MVRT in the form of priorities of the individual paths constituting the loop.

When a loop to a destination X is ~~recognized~~ <sup>detected</sup> in an STP A by the MVRT or by real-time methods, one can proceed in the following way for breaking the loop:

a) Breaking the loop "downstream" in that the specific departing path to this destination is blocked in the routing table in A. This step can, in particular, be implemented when other paths to X are also available proceeding from A. In this case, it is recommended to also check the route ~~employed~~ <sup>selected</sup> as an alternative for the occurrence of a loop. Although the lack of a detection of a loop is ~~no~~ guarantee that there is not some other loop that ~~no longer~~ contains A, there is at least a probability that the problem has been eliminated.

b) Alternatively, or if, for example, there no longer happens to <sup>be</sup> an alternate (loop-free) route proceeding from A, the loop can be broken "upstream", i.e. to the preceding STP B on the loop, in that A sends B a transfer prohibited message with respect to X.

In response ~~thereto~~, B will reroute or, respectively, stop the traffic to X. Since B will subsequently <sup>and</sup> periodically review the availability of the route to X via A with what are referred to as route set messages, it must be assured that A does not answer these messages with a transfer allowed, since B could otherwise re-close the loops.

After final correction of the routing tables by the ~~operating personnel~~ <sup>operators</sup>, the actions automatically undertaken by the MTP or the operations maintenance and administration part (OMAP) can be in turn reversed by the operating personnel (Note:

OMAP comprises higher-ranking SS7 management functions, for example MRV<sup>T</sup>, screening functions and measurements. "Informing the operating personnel" is also (partially) part of the OMAP).

*Another aspect*  
A special characteristic of the invention is comprised in the mechanism for  
5 breaking loops having the length  $\geq 2$  with automatic measures that are simple to  
up a more than  
realize when the are applied upon utilization of existing protocol features. In particular, the method can  
already be employed and is useful when it is realized in only a single STP.

One possibility for realizing the alternative (b) is to automatically activate what is referred to as ILS/DPC screening (ILS = incoming linkset; see Q.705, §8) in  
10 A for messages from B to X. However, a linking of the ILS/DPC screening into the MTP management network is needed for this purpose such that an illegal message is answered with a TFP message and the route set test messages are also correctly handled.

DOCUMENT  
A  
B  
C  
D  
E  
F  
G  
H  
I  
J  
K  
L  
M  
N  
O  
P  
Q  
R  
S  
T  
U  
V  
W  
X  
Y  
Z

Eda  
All